	Case 2:14-cv-00560-JAD-NJK	Document 68	Filed 01/20/15	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
9					
10	HAROLD D. HARDEN,) (Case No · 2·14-cu	r-00560-JAD-NJK	
11	Plaintiff,	{ ,	Case No.: 2.14-ev	-00300-JAD-NJK	
12	VS.		ORDER DENYIN RECONSIDERA	NG MOTION FOR	
13	SOBORO, et al.,)	(Docket No. 64)	HON	
14	Defendants.	{	(DOCKET NO. 04)		
15					
16	On January 5, 2015, the Court denied Plaintiff's motion to file discovery. Docket No. 62. Th				
17	Court instructed Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding in this action pro se, that parties should not file				
18	discovery documents with the Court but should instead "serve the discovery directly on Defendants				
19	counsel." See Docket No. 62 (discussing Local Rule 26-8). Now pending before the Court is Plaintiff's				
20	motion for reconsideration of that order. Docket No. 64. Defendants have filed a response indicating				
21	that they have agreed to respond to discovery even though it has not been served on them. See Docke				
22	No. 66.				
23	The Court reiterates that par	ties do not file d	iscovery documen	ts. When a party seeks to ge	
24	discovery from the opposing party, he serves that discovery directly on the opposing party's attorney				
25	There is no requirement to file discovery with the Court in order for the discovery to be effective an				
26	to get a response. In this case, Defendants' counsel has indicated that she stipulated to the discovery				

Ī	Case 2:14-cv-00560-JAD-NJK Document 68 Filed 01/20/15 Page 2 of 2
1	issue being deemed served as of December 23, 2014. <i>See</i> Docket No. 66. As such, there appears to be
2	no need to ask this Court for relief and the motion for reconsideration is DENIED as moot.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	DATED: January 20, 2015
5	
6	NANCY J. KOPPE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2425	
2526	
∠∪	